

Agenda Report Reviewed by:
City Manager: 

CITY OF SEBASTOPOL
CITY COUNCIL
STAFF REPORT

Meeting Date: August 02, 2016
To: Honorable Mayor and Honorable City Councilmembers
From: Henry Mikus, Engineering Manager
Subject: **Authorization to Solicit Bids**
Contract No. 2016-05 - Engineering Design & Bid Package ADA Curb Ramp Upgrades along SR 116
Recommendation: Adopt Minute Order authorizing staff to advertise for bids.
Funding: Currently Budgeted: Yes No N/A
Net General Fund Cost: \$ none
\$40,000 estimated Design cost would be paid for from the Traffic Impact Fund

INTRODUCTION: This item is to request the City Council authorize staff to solicit bids for an Engineering firm to prepare engineering designs and a bid package for construction to upgrade the required ADA curb ramps at pedestrian crossings along SR 116 through the City.

BACKGROUND:
Via the most recent Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) the City Council approved further work to establish an integrated network of bike pathways throughout the City of Sebastopol. Having the ADA curb ramps updated is a key component to a greater project, which is establishing bicycle lanes along SR 116 through Sebastopol.

DISCUSSION:
The City and CalTrans plan a joint project whereby repaving plus restriping to accommodate bike lanes will be done together. In order for the allocated CalTrans repaving funds to be released, the project must guarantee that crosswalks be made ADA compliant. CalTrans has no ready fund source for the curb ramps, hence the need for the City’s effort to get the ramps constructed in a timely fashion.

The Engineering portion of the project cost estimate is \$40,000. Staff has prepared an RFP for obtaining the Engineering work, and is requesting Council approval to move forward to solicit engineering bids.

The City maintains a Traffic Impact Capital Fund whose revenue comes from impact fees. The current approximate fund balance is \$970,000 with the anticipated balance at the end of FY 16-17 to be roughly \$250,000 after spending on planned projects (including this one).

The project will be advertised for approximately 30 days beginning August 4, 2016, after which the sealed bids will be opened on September 1, 2016. Staff will then return to Council with a recommendation for award of contract.

RECOMMENDATION: That the City Council adopt a Minute order authorize staff to solicit bids for the preparation of engineering designs and a bid package for construction to upgrade the required ADA curb ramps at pedestrian crossings along SR 116 through the City.

Attachment(s):

ADA Curb Ramp Upgrade Engineering RFP
SR 116 List of Sidewalk Curb Ramp Locations
Sample Consultant Agreement
Insurance Requirements for Consultants
Correspondence regarding CalTrans/Sebastopol joint project

**CITY OF SEBASTOPOL
REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL
FOR ADA SIDEWALK RAMP DESIGN
July 20, 2016**

INTRODUCTION

The City of Sebastopol is soliciting proposals from qualified Engineering firms to design the reconstruction of ADA Sidewalk Access Ramps at locations along State Highway 116, in the City of Sebastopol.

BACKGROUND

State Highway 116 forms the main north-south boulevard in Sebastopol. From the north, the street is named Gravenstein Highway North, then Healdsburg Avenue, North Main Street, and, south of downtown, the two-way couplet of Petaluma Avenue and South Main Street, joining again at Gravenstein Highway South.

At numerous locations along Highway 116, the sidewalk access ramps are either nonexistent or deficient.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The project will be to design the reconstruction of the sidewalk access ramps at thirty (30) different locations to meet current requirements.

CONSULTING SERVICES REQUIRED

The successful consultant will be a licensed Civil Engineer, experienced in the design of sidewalk access ramps, with a thorough knowledge of current standards for development of pedestrian facilities and familiarity with State requirements for obtaining a Caltrans Encroachment permit for the work.

SCOPE OF SERVICES

Task 1 - INFORMATION GATHERING

- Attend project Kickoff Meeting with City Staff (discuss project approach, schedule, administrative matters, communications, information needs, etc.).
- Perform Inventory of Existing Conditions – Consultant shall review the list of ramp locations provided by the City, and add any additional comments on those locations.

Task 2 - SURVEY OF EXISTING CONDITIONS

- The Consultant shall survey the existing conditions at each ramp location in sufficient detail to design a replacement ramp.

Task 3 – PREPARATION OF PRELIMINARY DRAWINGS AND COST ESTIMATE

- Consultant shall prepare 50% drawings showing the sidewalk access ramp improvements.

- Consultant shall prepare a preliminary cost estimate of the work.
- Conduct a meeting with City staff to review the 50% drawings and cost estimate.

MEETINGS –

Kickoff Meeting with City Staff.

Meeting with City Staff to review 50% Drawings and Estimate.

One Additional Meeting TBD.

DELIVERABLES – Project deliverables shall include two paper copies and one electronic copy each of all formal correspondence, drawings, meeting notes studies and reports including all appendices and data.

Task 4 - PREPARATION OF CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT DOCUMENTS

- Based on feedback from City staff, the Consultant shall prepare 90% construction drawings and technical specifications for the project, as well as an updated Engineer's Cost Estimate.
- Based on feedback from City staff, the Consultant shall prepare 100% Bidding Documents, including drawings and a full Contract Specification package, along with an updated Engineer's Estimate.
- The Consultant shall prepare Specifications based on a template provided by the City. The Specifications shall address Bidding, General and Technical Specifications for the project.

Task 5 – CALTRANS ENCROACHMENT PERMIT

- Consultant shall submit an Encroachment permit application to Caltrans for the work in the State right of way.
- Consultant shall respond to Caltrans comments in order to obtain Caltrans approval of the construction documents.

Task 6 – BIDDING AND CONSTRUCTION ASSISTANCE

- Consultant shall respond to questions from bidders during the bidding process.
- Consultant shall review submittals during the construction phase.
- Consultant shall make site visits during construction to review the progress of the work and advise the City of any issues that may arise. No construction inspection is expected of the Consultant.
- At the completion of construction, the Consultant shall prepare record drawings showing issues that arose during construction, and any utilities not originally shown on the drawings but discovered during construction.

DELIVERABLES – Project deliverables shall include two paper copies and one electronic copy each of the following:

- 90% design drawings, Technical Specifications, updated Cost Estimate.
- 100% design drawings, full Contract Specifications and Final Engineer's Cost Estimate.
- Caltrans Encroachment Permit.

DELIVERY OF PROPOSAL

Six copies of the proposal shall be delivered no later than 3:00 p.m. September 1, 2016. Proposals should be addressed to

Henry Mikus, Engineering Manager
City of Sebastopol Engineering Division
714 Johnson Street
Sebastopol, CA 95472

FORMAT AND CONTENT OF PROPOSAL

The format and content of the proposal shall be as described below. Excluding any detailed resumes and corporate brochures (which may be included with, but shall be submitted separately from the proposal), the Proposal shall be limited in length to 10 pages, including tables and figures.

Project Approach

Provide a narrative description of the project based on your understanding of the City's needs. The City will assess your understanding of all aspects of the project based on the approach.

Scope of Work

Provide a detailed description of the proposed scope of work, including tasks and subtasks and plan to implement the work. The scope of work should recognize, address and provide for resolution of all aspects of the project. The scope of work should clearly delineate each deliverable which the Consultant shall provide including number of copies of documents. The proposal shall include enough detail to be used as an appendix to the Consultant Contract.

Related Experience

Provide a summary on experience of similar projects which the firm and the proposed team has completed within the past ten (10) years. Indicate which team members, if any, were involved in each project. Each project listed should include the team members involved along with their work performed.

Project Team

The proposed project team shall be identified including project manager, project engineers and/or team leaders, and all other key staff. Key tasks and percentage of time should be included, as well as a summary of relevant experience for team members. A project team organization chart shall be included. Resumes for each team member shall be included in the appendix. The City is adamant that the team members indicated in the proposal are the actual personnel performing the work.

Project Schedule

A project schedule for completion of the project shall be submitted with the proposal. All major meetings, tasks, and subtasks shall be included on the schedule. The schedule should be realistic, and should allocate sufficient time for City review of all major work projects, and for scheduling of meetings as required.

Conflict of Interest

Firms submitting proposals must disclose to the City any actual, apparent, direct or indirect, or potential conflicts of interest that may exist with respect to the firm, management or employees of the firm or other persons relative to the services to be provided for this project. If a firm has no conflicts of interest, a statement to that effect shall be included in the proposal.

Contract Terms

The City intends to use the contract terms including insurance requirements present in the sample agreement (Exhibit B), which accompanies this request. In responding to this request, proposer agrees to accept these terms and if selected, agrees to enter into an agreement containing such terms.

Labor Effort Estimate

Provide estimated labor effort for each task to permit the City to determine the level of detail and the number of management, engineering, technical, drafting and support personnel hours envisioned for each task. Estimates of hours for each staff classification shall be provided for each task

References

For projects with similar services and of similar complexity, provide a minimum of two references for which the firm and project team members have provided comparable services. The name, address and telephone number of the clients shall be provided.

Fee Schedule

Provide a schedule of typical hourly rates for those job classifications to be billed to the project and an estimate of other direct costs to be billed to the project. Describe assumption regarding any escalation of salary rates during the course of the project.

Cost Proposal

The Cost Proposal shall be submitted in a separate, sealed envelope at the time the Proposal is submitted. The fee proposal shall be comprehensive and shall include the fee for all work required and shall be a not-to exceed amount.

EVALUATION AND SELECTION PROCESS

Selection Committee: The Selection Committee will be composed of the City Engineer, Engineering Director, and Public Works Superintendent.

Evaluation Criteria: The Selection Committee will evaluate and rate each proposal based on the following items:

- Understanding of the work to be done
- Responsiveness to the RFP
- Experience with similar kinds of work
- Experience and qualifications of the project team
- Project approach and schedule
- Information obtained from references
- Financial responsibility

SELECTION PROCESS

The City will review all proposals received and short list three (3) proposals. Those firms short listed may be contacted by phone to clarify information in the proposals, or to schedule an oral interview, or be asked to perform an oral presentation for the review panel. The presentation at the oral interview shall be made by those individuals who will actually be assigned to the project and whose efforts will provide a significant portion of the work product.

Subject to this additional research and/or interview, the City will notify consultants of its recommendation. The recommendation to the City Council will be made based on the technical review of proposals, evaluation of subsequent interviews, if any, and the cost proposal. Final negotiations as to scope and cost will take place after selection of the firm by the City Council.

Questions and Clarifications - Questions regarding this Request for Proposals should be directed to:

Henry Mikus, Engineering Manager
City of Sebastopol Engineering Division
714 Johnson Street, Sebastopol, CA 95472
Phone (707) 823-2151, Extension 106
Fax (707) 823-4721
e-mail hmikus@cityofsebastopol.org

Except for minor procedural questions, all requests for clarifications shall be made in writing, by mail, fax or e-mail, and must be received by the City no later than 3:00 p.m. on August 16, 2016. All written questions and requests for clarification will be compiled and answered in writing, and e-mailed to all consultants receiving an RFP by August 22, 2016.

Rights and Regulation

The City of Sebastopol reserves the right to accept any proposal or reject any and all proposals. Successful proposer will be required to comply with all applicable Equal Opportunity Laws and Regulations and any other applicable State and Federal Regulations.

Prior to award of contract, all proposals shall be held in confidence and will not be available for public review [Government Code Section 6254(h) and (k)]. Upon award to the successful proposer, all proposals shall be public record.

Addendum and Prior Agreement

The City of Sebastopol shall not be liable for any pre-contractual expenses incurred by any proposer or selected contractor. The City of Sebastopol shall be held harmless and free from any and all liability, claims or expenses whatsoever incurred by, or on behalf of, any person or organization responding to this RFP.

Alternatives

Proposers may not take exception or make alterations to any requirements of the RFP. Alternatives may be submitted as separate proposals and so noted on the cover of the proposal.

City staff reserves the right to consider such alternative proposals, and to award a contract based thereon if determined to be in the City of Sebastopol's best interest and such proposal satisfies all minimum qualifications specified in this RFP. Please indicate in your cover letter that the proposal offers an alternative to the RFP.

Lobbying

The designated staff contact for this RFQ/RFP shall be the Engineering Director. With the exception of contacting designated City staff to ask questions regarding this RFP, any party submitting a proposal or party representing a proposer shall not lobby any City of Sebastopol Council member, any other staff member, commissioner or boardmember regarding this RFP. Any party attempting to influence the RFP process through ex parte contact may have their proposal rejected.

Return of Proposals

Submitted proposals will be returned only upon request of proposer. All cost proposals shall be in force for up to 90 days from submittal deadline. If award is not made within 90 days, proposers will be asked to resubmit cost proposals. Please note that award will not be based solely on the cost proposed.

Insurance

All contractors and subcontractors must satisfy the insurance requirements of the contract. See Exhibit C (Insurance Requirements for Consultants).

EXHIBITS

- Exhibit A – List of Sidewalk Ramp Locations.
- Exhibit B – Sample Consultant Agreement.
- Exhibit C – Insurance Requirements for Consultants.

EXHIBIT A Curb Ramp Upgrade Summary

Sign Plan	Street	Segment Limits	Side of Street	Curb Ramps		Notes
				Existing	Need	
PD-01	Gravenstein Hwy North	Mill Station Rd to Danmar Dr	east west	2 3	0 0	no sidewalk on west side of street.
PD-02 to 03	Gravenstein Hwy North	Danmar Dr to Hurlbut Ave	east west	0 2	0 0	at Tocchini Street Ramps to be constructed by Caltrans
PD-03	Gravenstein Hwy North	Hurlbut Ave to Soll Ct	east west	6 2	6 0	includes Hurlbut Ramps to be constructed by Caltrans
PD-04	Gravenstein Hwy North	Soll Ct to Covert Ln	east west	0 0	0 0	minor intersection driveways, no major intersecting streets or mid-block crossings no sidewalk on west side of street.
PD-05	Healdsburg Ave	Covert Ln to Florence Ave	north south	4 3	2 0	at DuFranc Murphy Ave recent upgrades
PD-06	Healdsburg Ave	Florence Ave to N Main St	north south	7 5	4 1	includes Florence, Ellis Ct and Cleveland Ave
PD-07	N Main St	Healdsburg Ave to McKinley St	east west	5 5	4 3	includes N Main St, Keating recent upgrades at N Main, Wallace, and Wilton
PD-08	N Main St	McKinley St to Willow St	east west			Ramps to be constructed by Caltrans
PD-09	Petaluma Ave	McKinley St to Burnett St	east west			Ramps to be constructed by Caltrans
PD-10	Petaluma Ave	Abbott Ave to Walker Ave	east west	4 4	2 2	at Fannem Ave, does not include Joe Rodota Trail, recent upgrades at Walker
PD-10	S Main St	Willow St to Walker Ave	east west	6 3	0 0	recent upgrades at Calder, Fannem, and Walker
PD-11	Petaluma Ave	Walker Ave to Palm Ave	east west	3 2	0 0	recent upgrades at Palm Ave
PD-11	S Main St	Walker Ave to Palm Ave	east west	1 7	0 2	sidewalk ends at Palm Ave, no crosswalk/ramp needed at Maple Ave, recent upgrades at Palm and Litchfield
PD-12	Gravenstein Hwy South	Palm Ave to Hutchins Ave	east west	2 1	1 1	not sure if additional improvements would be required at existing commercial drive Hutchins Ave recent upgrades
PD-13	Gravenstein Hwy South	Hutchins Ave to Fircrest Ave	east west	2 5	0 0	recent upgrades at Redwood Ave recent upgrades at Fellers Ln and Fircrest Ave
PD-14	Gravenstein Hwy South	Fircrest Ave to Cooper Rd	east west	7 2	2 0	at Cooper Rd, does not include Fircrest, recent improvements at Corline recent upgrades at Lynch
Sign Plan #	Street	Segment Limits	Side of Street	Total Curb Ramps		Notes
S-01 to S-14	SR 116	Mill Station Rd to Cooper Road	both	Existing	Need Upgrade	
				93	30	

CITY OF SEBASTOPOL
CONTRACT NO. 2016-01-__
MASTER AGREEMENT FOR ENGINEERING CONSULTING SERVICES
[Consultant Name]

THIS AGREEMENT, made and entered into this _____ day of _____, 2016
by and
between the City of Sebastopol, a municipal corporation located in the County of
Sonoma, State of California, hereinafter referred collectively to as "CITY" and
[Consultant Name], a California Corporation with principal offices at **[1234 Fifth
Street, City State, ZIP]**, hereinafter referred to as "CONSULTANT",

WITNESSETH

WHEREAS, CITY has the need for On-Call Engineering services; and

WHEREAS, CITY desires to contract for such services with a private consultant; and

WHEREAS, CONSULTANT is experienced in providing such services for municipal
corporations and is able to provide personnel with the proper experience and background
to
carry out the duties involved; and

WHEREAS CITY wishes to retain CONSULTANT for the performance of said services;

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual covenants, benefits and premises
herein stated, the parties hereto agree as follows:

CITY, pursuant to the authority set forth at Government Code Section 36505, does
hereby appoint CONSULTANT, in a contractual capacity, to perform the following
services on an "on call, as needed" basis, in accordance with the terms and conditions
hereinafter set forth;

The type of Engineering or other services to be provided include:

Fill in from Proposal
Civil – Grading and Drainage,
Civil – Streets
Civil – Utilities (Water, Wastewater, Stormwater)
Land Surveyors, Mapping, Construction Staking
Bike and Ped Facilities
Stormwater Management, Hydrology, SWPPP
Construction Management

- 1) **CAPITAL PROJECTS** - As assigned, pursuant to approval of scope of work and fee
proposal by the City Council, perform services which may include but are not

necessarily limited to the following:

- a) Preliminary Engineering: Prepare alternatives analyses, preliminary layouts, surveying, geotechnical services, and estimates of probable cost.
- b) Permits: Assist the City in obtaining approvals and permits from various agencies, including CALTRANS, Regional Water Quality Control Board, US Army Corps of Engineers, CA Department of Fish and Game, etc.
- c) Construction Documents: Prepare engineering calculations and designs, plans, specifications, cost estimates, and contract bidding documents.
- d) Bidding Assistance: Assist the City with technical support during bidding phase for public contracts, attend pre-bid conferences and job walks, perform constructability review of other firms or own plans and specifications, prepare addenda, analyze bids, and recommend award.
- e) Construction Support/Construction Management: Attend pre-construction conferences; monitor construction schedule, visit construction site as required for progress and quality of work evaluation. Assist City with interpretation of the plans and specifications, analysis of changed conditions, development of corrective action, review of shop drawings and other submittals, and the review and negotiation of change orders.

- 1) **OTHER MISCELLANEOUS SERVICES** - CITY may from time-to-time have the need for other services not specifically listed in this agreement for which CONSULTANT has the necessary experience and capabilities to provide. CITY, may authorize CONSULTANT to perform such selected services on an as-needed basis.

1) **PROJECT ASSIGNMENTS**

- a) the City may from time to time during the term of this agreement, solicit proposals from CONSULTANT for various City projects. Individual project assignments will be awarded by amendments to this agreement, concurrent with the term of the master contract.
- b) The City will award contract amendments for each project based upon a scope of services, work schedule, and fee proposal submitted to the City on request, and subject to approval by the City Council. For any given project, the City may elect to contract with more than one consultant based upon their field of expertise.
- c) Consultants are also encouraged to team with other pre-qualified consultants on project proposals where multiple disciplines are required.

- 1) **RECORDS** All records produced by CONSULTANT during the course of your work under this agreement are and at all times shall remain the property of the CITY. CONSULTANT shall assemble these records in an orderly fashion and store same, for at least three years, in a mutually agreed upon location so that they may be reasonably available to the public or to the officials of CITY as required. Copies of records shall be provided to the City from time to time, as requested.

- 1) **TERMINATION** - This Master Agreement may be terminated at will by either party with or without cause upon 30 days written notice.

1) GENERAL CONDITIONS

- a) CITY shall not be called upon to assume any liability for the direct payment of any salary, wage or other compensation to any person employed by CONSULTANT performing services hereunder for CITY.
- b) All documents, including but not limited to plans and specifications, prepared by CONSULTANT are instruments of services, only. They are not intended nor represented to be suitable for reuse on extensions of this project or any other project. Any reuse without specific permission by CONSULTANT shall be at the users sole risk. CITY hereto agrees to save, keep and hold harmless CONSULTANT from all damages, costs or expenses in law and equity including costs of suit and attorneys fees resulting from such reuse.
- c) CONSULTANT agrees to save, keep, hold harmless and indemnify CITY and its officers, and employees from all damages, in law and equity caused by any negligent act or omission to act on the part of CONSULTANT or any of its officers, employees or subcontractors. CITY shall save, keep, hold harmless indemnify CONSULTANT from all damages suffered in the performance of the authorized by this Agreement that are not the result of wrongful acts of the CONSULTANT, its officers, employees or subcontractors.
- d) CONSULTANT shall procure and maintain for the duration of the contract insurance against claims for injuries to persons or damages to property which may arise from or in connection with the performance of the work hereunder by the CONSULTANT, his agents, representatives, or employees. Refer to Exhibit "A", INSURANCE REQUIREMENTS FOR CONSULTANTS (REMIF), attached hereto and thereby made a part of this contract.

- 1) **NOTICES** - For purposes hereof, unless otherwise provided in writing by the parties hereto, the address of the CITY and the proper person to receive any notice on the CITY'S behalf is:

Henry J. Mikus, Engineering Manager
City of Sebastopol
714 Johnson Street
Sebastopol, CA 95472

For the purposes hereto, unless otherwise provided in writing by the parties hereto, the address of CONSULTANT and the proper person to receive any notice on the CONSULTANT'S behalf is:

Name of Principal (who signs agreement)
Business Name
Address
City, State, ZIP

- 1) **ARBITRATION** - All claims, disputes, and other matters in question between the

parties to this AGREEMENT, or breach thereof, may be decided by arbitration in accordance with the then-most current rules of the American Arbitration Association, if the parties mutually agree.

1) MISCELLANEOUS

- a) The titles used in this agreement are for general reference only and are not a part of the Agreement.
- b) This Agreement shall be interpreted as though prepared by both parties.
- c) Any provision of this agreement held to violate any law shall not invalidate the remainder of this Agreement.
- d) This Agreement shall be interpreted under the laws of the State of California.

- 1) TERM OF AGREEMENT – This Agreement shall remain in effect for an initial term of 3 (three) years. Extensions of the agreement may be made upon mutual agreement of the parties hereto.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have caused this Agreement to be executed by the duly authorized officers the day and year first above written in this Agreement.

CITY OF SEBASTOPOL

CONSULTANT

BUSINESS NAME

Larry McLaughlin, City Manager

Principal

Approved as to Form:

City Attorney

EXHIBIT A

INSURANCE REQUIREMENTS FOR CONSULTANTS

Consultant shall procure and maintain for the duration of the contract insurance against claims for injuries to persons or damages to property which may arise from or in connection with the performance of the work hereunder by the Consultant, his agents, representatives, or employees.

Minimum Scope of Insurance

Coverage shall be at least as broad as:

1. Insurance Services Office Commercial General Liability coverage (occurrence form CG 0001).
2. Insurance Services Office form number CA 0001 (Ed. 1187) covering Automobile Liability, code 1 (any auto).
3. Workers' Compensation insurance as required by the State of California and Employer's Liability Insurance.
4. Errors and Omissions liability insurance appropriate to the consultant's profession.

Minimum Limits of Insurance

Consultant shall maintain limits no less than:

1. General Liability: \$1,000,000 per occurrence for bodily injury, personal injury and property damage **including operations, products and completed operations, as applicable.** If Commercial General Liability Insurance or other form with a general aggregate limit is used, either the general aggregate limit shall apply separately to this project/location or the general aggregate limit shall be twice the required occurrence limit.
2. Automobile Liability: \$1,000,000 per accident for bodily injury and property damage.
3. Employer's Liability: \$1,000,000 per accident for bodily injury or disease.
4. Errors and Omissions liability: \$1,000,000 per occurrence.

Deductibles and Self-Insured Retentions

Any deductibles or self-insured retentions must be declared to and approved by the City. At the option of the City, either: the insurer shall reduce or eliminate such deductibles or self-insured retentions as respects the City, its officers, officials, employees and volunteers; or the Consultant shall provide a financial guarantee satisfactory to the City guaranteeing payment of losses and related investigations, claim administration and defense expenses.

Other Insurance Provisions

The commercial general liability and automobile liability policies are to contain, or be endorsed to contain, the following provisions:

1. **The City, its officers, officials, employees and volunteers** are to be covered as insureds as respects liability arising out of work or operations performed by or on behalf of the Consultant; or automobiles owned, leased, hired or borrowed by the Consultant.
2. For any claims related to this project, the Consultant's insurance coverage shall be primary insurance as respects the City, its officers, officials, employees and volunteers. Any insurance or self-insurance maintained by the City, its officers, officials, employees or volunteers shall be excess of the Consultant's insurance and shall not contribute with it.
3. The Workers Compensation policy is to be endorsed with a waiver of subrogation. The insurance company, in its endorsement, agrees to waive all rights of subrogation against the City, its officers, officials, employees and volunteers for losses paid under terms of this policy which arise from the work performed by the named insured.

4. It shall be a requirement under this agreement that any available insurance proceeds broader than or in excess of the specified minimum insurance coverage requirements and/or limits shall be available to the Additional Insured. Furthermore, the requirements for coverage and limits shall be (1) the minimum coverage and limits specified in this Agreement; or (2) the broader coverage and maximum limits of coverage of any insurance policy or proceeds available to the named Insured; whichever is greater.
5. Each insurance policy required by this clause shall be endorsed to state that coverage shall not be canceled by either party, except after thirty (30) days' prior written notice by certified mail, return receipt requested, has been given to the City.
6. **Coverage shall not extend to any indemnity coverage for the active negligence of the additional insured in any case where an agreement to indemnify the additional insured would be invalid under Subsection (b) of Section 2782 of the Civil Code.**

Acceptability of Insurers

Insurance is to be placed with insurers with a current A.M. Best's rating of no less than A:VII, unless otherwise acceptable to the City.

Verification of Coverage

Consultant shall furnish the City with original certificates and amendatory endorsements affecting coverage required by this clause. The endorsements should be on forms provided by the City or on other than the City's forms provided those endorsements conform to City requirements. All original, signed certificates and endorsements are to be received and approved by the City prior to City's approval of the contract and commencement of work. The City reserves the right to require complete, certified copies of all required insurance policies, including endorsements affecting the coverage required by these specifications at any time.

Subconsultants

Consultant agrees to include with all subconsultants in their subcontract the same requirements and provisions of this agreement including the indemnity and insurance requirements to the extent they apply to the scope of the Subconsultant's work. Subconsultants hired by Consultant agree to be bound to Consultant and City in the same manner and to the same extent as Consultant is bound to City under the Contract Documents. Subconsultant further agrees to include these same provisions with any Sub-subconsultant. A copy of the contract indemnity and insurance provisions will be furnished to the Subconsultant upon request. The Consultant shall require all sub-consultant to provide a valid certificate of insurance and the required endorsements included in the agreement prior to commencement of any work and Consultant will provide proof of compliance to the City.



Engineering Department
714 Johnson Street
Sebastopol, California 95472
Telephone: (707)-823-2151
Fax: (707)-823-4721

Website: www.ci.sebastopol.ca.us
e-mail: hmikus@cityofsebastopol.org
Henry J. Mikus, Engineering Manager

March 18, 2016

Ramses Sargiss, P.E.
Office Chief, Office of Toll Bridge Engineering
California Department of Transportation, District 4 Maintenance
P.O. Box 23660, 111 Grand Avenue, MS-4B
Oakland, CA 94623

Subject: Potential Cooperative Project, SR 116 City of Sebastopol

Dear Mr. Sargiss,

Thank you very much to you and Department staff that met with us earlier this week to begin discussing the potential synergy between the planned CalTrans pavement project along SR 116 through Sebastopol, and the City's planned bicycle lanes project on the same route. Per that discussion, as agreed please accept this letter as our request to continue discussions and take what steps are necessary for: first determining if such a joint project is viable, and if so to set parameters of an agreement so the project can occur. In summary, the advantage of cooperation exists because CalTrans has a pavement project (which will require redoing pavement striping) planned during nearly the same time frame as the City wishes to redo pavement markings to create Class 2 Bicycle Lanes.

The efficiencies for both parties can exist by coordinating (and eliminating duplicative effort) the pavement restriping, pavement repairs, and required ADA improvements along the right of way. Please accept our assurance that the City of Sebastopol is committed to putting all necessary effort to make this joint project a reality and success.

We appreciate your willingness to work with us and to consider this joint project. Please contact us if you have any questions or concerns.

Sincerely,

Henry J. Mikus
Engineering Manager
City of Sebastopol

Copies: Sebastopol City Council
Larry McLaughlin, City Manager
Joe Gaffney, City Engineer
Ramsey Hissen, Vice President AECOM

From: Henry Mikus hmikus@cityofsebastopol.org

Subject: Re: letter on cooperative SR 116 project

Date: May 2, 2016 at 10:34 AM

To: Thilakaratne, Vijith@DOT vijith.thilakaratne@dot.ca.gov

Cc: Sargiss, Ramses@DOT ramses.sargiss@dot.ca.gov, Hissen, Ramsey ramsey.hissen@aecom.com, Joy Villafranca Joy.Villafranca@aecom.com, Joseph, Betsy@DOT betcy.joseph@dot.ca.gov, Rich Emig remig@cityofsebastopol.org, Larry McLaughlin lmclaughlin@cityofsebastopol.org, Joe Gaffney j_gaffney@comcast.net



Vijith,

We identified approximately 30 ramps at intersections that needed further upgrades along SR 116, in varying degrees. These would be in addition to the ADA upgrades already set for the one CalTrans project we discussed at the meeting at your offices in March, plus several locations where a property development project is required (by us) to do the upgrades. It is the city's desire, and plan, to do the ADA intersection enhancements in order to further this joint project. I recognize this could be a "chicken or egg" question because both prospective partners, CalTrans and the city of Sebastopol need to commit to their parts. In order to proceed we would need something back from CalTrans, such as a positive reply to my letter dated March 18, 2016 (copy attached) to your Mr. Sargiss asking that we make this a joint project.

Thank you for your follow-up, it is very encouraging that you have begun cataloguing the necessary digouts.

Henry Mikus

On May 2, 2016, at 10:03 AM, Thilakaratne, Vijith@DOT <vijith.thilakaratne@dot.ca.gov> wrote:

Hello Henry,

I'm following up to let you know that our engineers made a field visit to Son-116 last week to establish the locations and quantities of the asphalt concrete digouts needed for a FY 16/17 project on Son-116 PM 25/27.9. Our preliminary estimates indicate that the digouts will be need over an area of about 12,000 square yards. As discussed and agreed at the meeting held on 3/15/16, the City was to survey the curb ramps outside of the currently programmed downtown ramp upgrade project locations. If it is possible for the City to bring these remaining curb ramps to standard by June of 2017, program a project for these curb ramp locations, or obtain exceptions, we will then program a digouts only project for next summer construction.

Could you let us know about your survey status/findings and what the City's plan would be to address these?

Thanks.

Vijith Thilakaratne
Senior Transportation Engineer
District HM-1 and HM-122/124 Program Advisor
Maintenance & Toll Bridge Engineering
Caltrans District 4

Phone: (510)286-5216 office
(510)715-9223 cell
Fax: (510)286-4482

-----Original Message-----

From: Sargiss, Ramses@DOT
Sent: Friday, April 08, 2016 9:07 AM
To: Thilakaratne, Vijith@DOT <vijith.thilakaratne@dot.ca.gov>
Subject: FW: letter on cooperative SR 116 project

-----Original Message-----

From: Henry Mikus [mailto:hmikus@cityofsebastopol.org]
Sent: Monday, March 21, 2016 10:37 AM
To: Sargiss, Ramses@DOT
Cc: Lawrence McLaughlin; Mary Gourley; Richard Emig; Joe Gaffney;
ramsey.hissen@aeacom.com
Subject: letter on cooperative SR 116 project

Ramses,

Thank you for meeting with our delegation from the City of Sebastopol last week. It is very encouraging that there is a real likelihood the City and CalTrans can team up to do our projects along SR 116 together to everyone's benefit.

Please accept the attached letter as our request that we work out doing details and any agreements for the projects to happen jointly.

As I indicated to you at the meeting, I spoke with our City Manager and others on our team; we are very supportive of working with you, and will do what is within our capabilities to enable the projects to move ahead.

Henry Mikus
Engineering Manager
City of Sebastopol



Engineering Department
714 Johnson Street
Sebastopol, California 95472
Telephone: (707)-823-2151
Fax: (707)-823-4721
Website: www.ci.sebastopol.ca.us
e-mail: hmikus@cityofsebastopol.org
Henry J. Mikus, Engineering Manager

March 18, 2016

Ramses Sargiss, P.E.
Office Chief, Office of Toll Bridge Engineering
California Department of Transportation, District 4 Maintenance
P.O. Box 23660, 111 Grand Avenue, MS-4B
Oakland, CA 94623

Subject: Potential Cooperative Project, SR 116 City of Sebastopol

Dear Mr. Sargiss,

Thank you very much to you and Department staff that met with us earlier this week to begin

discussing the potential synergy between the planned CalTrans pavement project along SR 116 through Sebastopol, and the City's planned bicycle lanes project on the same route. Per that discussion, as agreed please accept this letter as our request to continue discussions and take what steps are necessary for: first determining if such a joint project is viable, and if so to set parameters of an agreement so the project can occur. In summary, the advantage of cooperation exists because CalTrans has a pavement project (which will require redoing pavement striping) planned during nearly the same time frame as the City wishes to redo pavement markings to create Class 2 Bicycle Lanes.

The efficiencies for both parties can exist by coordinating (and eliminating duplicative effort) the pavement restriping, pavement repairs, and required ADA improvements along the right of way. Please accept our assurance that the City of Sebastopol is committed to putting all necessary effort to make this joint project a reality and success.

We appreciate your willingness to work with us and to consider this joint project. Please contact us if you have any questions or concerns.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Henry J. Mikus", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Henry J. Mikus
Engineering Manager
City of Sebastopol

Copies: Sebastopol City Council
Larry McLaughlin, City Manager
Joe Gaffney, City Engineer
Ramsey Hissen, Vice President AECOM

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
D4 Division of Maintenance
Maintenance and Toll Bridge Engineering
PO Box 23660
Oakland CA 94623

May 12, 2016

Henry J. Mikus
Engineering Manager - City of Sebastopol
714 Johnson Street
Sebastopol, CA 95472

Maintenance Project on Son-116 for Asphalt Concrete Digouts (PM 25.0/27.9)

Dear Mr. Mikus:

This is in reference to your letter dated March 18, 2016 and the recent email communication about the status of curb ramps needing upgrades within the limits mentioned above. We understand that it is the City's desire and plan to do the ADA curb ramp upgrades needed at these locations. Our staff engineers have identified locations within these postmile limits that need asphalt concrete digouts and are in the process of initiating a project that would go to construction in the summer of 2017. Because of the City's planned ADA work, we are able to plan this digouts project. However, before it can be funded, we will need the documents (project number, plans, advertisement and award schedule) for the City's project to satisfy the design reviewer's ADA requirements prior to listing the digouts project. We expect this milestone would be achieved around middle of December 2016.

Please be assured that we are taking the necessary steps to bring this project to construction next summer once the ADA needs have been addressed. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact Vijith Thilakaratne at (510)286-5216.

Sincerely,



Ramses Sargiss
District Office Chief
Maintenance and Toll Bridge Engineering

cc: file, V. Thilakaratne

"Provide a safe, sustainable, integrated and efficient transportation system to enhance California's economy and livability"

From: Henry Mikus hmikus@cityofsebastopol.org
Subject: Maintenance Project on Son-116 for AC digits (PM 25.0/27.9)
Date: July 6, 2016 at 1:44 PM



To: Sargiss, Ramses@DOT ramses.sargiss@dot.ca.gov, Thilakaratne, Vijith@DOT vijith.thilakaratne@dot.ca.gov
Cc: Joe Gaffney j.gaffney@comcast.net, Richard Emig remig@cityofsebastopol.org, Hissen, Ramsey ramsey.hissen@aecom.com, Joy Villafranca joy.villafranca@aecom.com, Lawrence McLaughlin lmclaughlin@cityofsebastopol.org, Huynh, Tuan@DOT tuan.huynh@dot.ca.gov

Ramses and Vijith,

Given some recent events, I thought it might suit to provide an update on Sebastopol's progress on this project. As background, the major part of Sebastopol's effort will be to upgrade to current standards approximately 30 ADA curb ramps along SR-116.

During June the City Council approved the City Capital Improvements Plan (CIP) which included the ADA curb ramp work. As a result, staff have prepared an RFP for the engineering design and construction bid package for the ADA curb ramps. The RFP is scheduled to be presented to the City Council for approval at their July 19, 2016 meeting. The item is on the "consent" calendar which means approval is highly likely. In addition, the project was subject to much discussion and enthusiasm during the Council's budget deliberations. Upon approval, no later than July 21, 2016 the RFP would be released with responses due in 30 days. It is expected the City Council would approve the engineering firm at their September 6, 2016 meeting. Our plan is for bid packages for construction would be released within two months. With a 30-day bid period and fitting the City Council calendar to get Council approval, it is reasonable that a contract award occur in January 2017 with work to commence as soon as practical. Work duration should be no more than two months, so likely a project completion by May latest. We trust that will match your schedule for the dig out repairs to the roadway.

In a related matter, I learned today that there is a second (but small) CalTrans ADA upgrade project slated for this summer, at the intersection of SR-116 (Healdsburg Avenue at this point) and Dufranc Avenue. The CalTrans contact is Mr. Tuan Huynh, at 510-286-4760. For your reference the ID numbers on the plans are: Contract # 04-4A6704, Project ID 0400001155. I gave Mr. Huynh both of your contact information, primarily because of the understanding of the importance of accounting for all the required ADA work to ensure funding.

As this project work unfolds, I will keep you informed.

Again, Sebastopol greatly appreciates the efforts by CalTrans to enable this mutually beneficial joint project.

Henry Mikus
Engineering Manager
City of Sebastopol
714 Johnson Street
Sebastopol, CA 95472
707-823-2151