

25 january 2021

zen destiny

county of sonoma
board of supervisors
affiliated staff

city of sebastopol
affiliated staff

city of petaluma council
affiliated staff

re: **YOU ARE HEREBY LEGALLY AND LAWFULLY NOTICED**
THERE IS NO LIABILITY SHIELD FOR mRNA
EXPERIMENTAL GENE MANIPULATION INJECTIONS:
Moderna and Pfizer/BioNTech injections are NOT vaccines
These injections are literally MEDICAL DEVICES

mRNA IS GENETIC ENGINEERING

foremost, the taxonomy [system of classification] of virology is unsettled.
meaning; *they can not make the causal statement that viruses cause disease.*

vaccine is a legally defined term. the primary end-point ascertain;
presumption of protection against infection and transmission.

vaccine means a specially prepared antigen to stimulate immunity to a pathogen
using preparation of a killed or attenuated living microorganism of a fraction
thereof. to deter the transmissibility thereof. also, vaccine means a product that
contains a prophylactic or therapeutic agent that acts by inducing a humoral
and/or cell-mediated immune response directed specifically against an antigen.
end-point implies non-transmissibility.

is genetic manipulation covered under cell-mediated immune response?
not if it does not meet the primary prongs of the definition;

stimulate immunity or prevent infection.
is not formulated, by their own admission,
from an isolated/purified form of the actual virus.
does not portend to imply non-transmissibility.

these mRNA experimental genetic modification injections make no claim other than to alleviate *some* symptoms of unisolated/unpurified particles of unknown origin for approximately (2) two months after (2) two injections.

to enclose your legal, corporate person in a liability shield, a vaccine product has to specifically, both stimulate immunity and disrupt transmission.

does pfizer-biontech or moderna even have the coronavirus to work with?
not according to their own admission.

pfizer's response, bracketed comments are my assertions;

"to build an mRNA vaccine, scientists do not need the actual virus... the DNA template used does not come directly from an isolated virus from an infected person."

[in other words, they have no copy of an isolated/purified virus from an infected person. refer to KOCH'S POSTULATES]

"the DNA template, SARS/COV2 gene bank MN9089473 was generated via a combination of gene synthesis and recombinant dna technology."

[*gene synthesis and recombinant dna technology*. their words not mine.
these are basically the tools of genetic engineering]

*

they are attempting to change DNA through the mediary by putting an operating system [programming] into the genome. moderna admits on their website that their mRNA sequencing is coding for a protein, like an app.

the central *dogma* of genetics.

[a point of view or tenet put forth as authoritative without adequate grounds]

assumption:

we're told that the mRNA goes out through the pores of the nuclear membrane.

DNA separates and makes complimentary copy of itself [transcription]
complimentary strand is called mRNA

DNA creates RNA creates protein

DNA to RNA to mRNA is transcription

RNA to protein is translation

the theory is that there is never:

protein makes RNA
protein makes DNA
RNA makes DNA

the theory is that this is a *uni-directional process*. [one way street]
that *was* the foundation of genetics and cell biology.

HIV virus has never been isolated/purified. they supposedly discovered that HIV was an RNA virus which then posed the question, how does an RNA virus gets inserted back into the DNA?

this theory violates their central dogma so they posited that you could reverse this dogma through reverse transcriptase (the RT part of the PCR test).

meaning, [RT] the enzyme has reversed the central dogma of the uni-directional theory. hence the theoretic creation of retroviruses solves their fundamental intellectual unproven cul-de-sac, the *one-way-street* premise with further unproven suppositions.

this reversibility disproves their original dogma.
these genetic interactions have always been a two-way-street.
why are the terms of isolate and purify basically synonyms in all other fields?
the blurring of the terms isolate and purify is a deliberate, disingenuous action.

it is my opinion, viruses are internally created through;

fear, stress, injuries, contaminants consumed voluntarily or involuntarily, the *cells vomiting poisons from radioactivity* and other terrain intrusions and the normal processes of the body cleansing itself of dead cells, etc. via those great, almighty, wonderful spiky proteins called EXOSOMES.

EXOSOMES have been deliberately passed off as the coronavirus but they are an extremely important tool of the host entity's immune system functionality.
what i am proposing is that viruses are particles created in the host through normal and external terrain intrusions and the host has a system for removing them to continually re-establish cellular well-being. i submit that EXOSOMES are not pathogenic and viruses are not transmissible by air, despite repeated attempts by lab rats posing as scientists. the real danger here is mRNA gene manipulation injections, which will cause the host to rebel against its own DNA.
we have to seize back control of the semantics and vernacular to stand for truth.

what we can reasonably surmise;

these experimental gene manipulation injections will impose external genetic, partially synthetic, constructs which the live entity's immune system will ultimately reject, causing the body to go to war with it's own genetic material. RT PCR is not a diagnostic and has never been. military-grade millimeter wave technology and other electromagnetic field manifestations are interfering with all biological beings cellular activity. all flora and fauna are being compromised.

EMF's are disorganizing the water of our aqueous forms.

meaning, we are water beings and conduct waveforms like antennas.

the best thing we can do is avoid EMF's and strengthen our natural immunity.

you have an ethical obligation to research whether these genetically engineered injections contain hydrogel nanolipid particles i.e. nanocomposite hydrogel bio-sensor tracking; constitute unauthorized, unproven medical devices. you have to discern if they violate the Federal Trade Commission Act via deceptive medical labeling practices by their admittedly flawed ascertains that they work. you have a moral and ethical obligation through your Oaths of Office, to forge an honorable path.

YOU ARE HEREBY NOTICED THAT, ACTING AS A CARNIVAL BARKER AND DISTRIBUTION NETWORK FOR THESE EXPERIMENTAL GENETIC MANIPULATION INJECTIONS WHICH ARE NOT VACCINES UNDER THE LEGAL/LAWFUL DEFINITION AS SUCH AND HAVE NOT BEEN DEEMED SAFE BY CLINICAL TRIALS ON HUMANS;

YOU HEREBY DO NOT POSSESS NOR OCCUPY ANY IMMUNITY SPACE WITHIN OR UNDER ANY LIABILITY SHIELD,

AND AS SUCH, ARE TOTALLY RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY HARM THAT SPRINGS FORTH FROM WITHIN ANY CONTRACTAL AGREEMENTS THAT YOU, AS SENTIENT BEINGS, HAVE WILLINGLY ENGAGED.

So Be It,
This 25th day of January, 2021.